



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_22574
Title of the Manuscript:	UROFLOWMETRY PARAMETER EVALUATION OF POST URETHROPLASTY HYPOSPADIA PATIENTS IN PADANG
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Excellent manuscript. Easy to read and of interest to my colleagues dealing with hypospadias surgery. The hypospadias in these boys were of different grades and the patient group thus very heterogenic. I suggest that the terms Q max and Q average should be defined in the tables and the terms used e g ml. should be spelled out in the table to make it easier to read.	We have revised it
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		