



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_21835
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF RESVERATROL ON RELATIVE ORGAN WEIGHTS, BLOOD LEAD LEVELS, SERUM KIDNEY FUNCTION AND ELECTROLYTES LEVELS IN LEAD-INDUCED TOXICITY IN MALE WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Consider recasting to read "EFFECT OF RESVERATROL ON SOME BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN LEAD-INTOXICATED MALE WISTAR RATS" Abstract: Capitalize 'W' in Wistar here and elsewhere. The conclusion of the study need to be included in the abstract section. Introduction: Collapse line 67-82 into one paragraph. Materials and Methods: Line 125-125: the third group was treated with succimer???? (10 mg/kg body weight) [25, 26] explain the role of the succimer group- whether standard drug group???? Discussion: Line 210-211: recast thus: This result is in line with previous data [35, 36, 37]. And delete "who reported that Resveratrol LD₅₀ in mice and rats was more than 5000 mg/kg" Line 235: Provide the name of the author appropriately or recast to "This finding was in line with earlier findings [45 and 46]." Correct here and elsewhere. Conclusion: This is redundant. The authors need to recast this in relation to achieving or not achieving the study aim.	All the correction has been effected by the author's has directed by the reviewer. The corrections are highlighted in yellow colour. Thanks for the quick acceptance of the manuscript and waiting to hear from you as quick as possible for the publication procedures.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		