



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_21816
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Studies on the Phytochemical Properties of Five Nigerian Medicinal Plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Manuscript has been improved however Tables and reported data are only descriptive and informative. The same consideration could apply to the writing style adopted which is adapted to "non scholar" in the area of interest. The evaluation reported in Table 2 is unchanged and need an explanation and appropriate terms of reference, it cannot be only qualitative. The experimental part is presented but why data are not reported properly as a Table? The way of reporting information is not considered.	Thank you very much for your commendations. Having gone through the comments and manuscript again, we want to restate that the style of writing we adopted is good for our target audience. However adjustments had been made for easy comprehension. However, the result presented in Table 2 is the result for qualitative analysis while the result for quantitative analysis was presented in Table 3. Based on the scope of this work, data were reported appropriately. Once more thank you very much for your peer review.