



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_15989
Title of the Manuscript:	MORINGA LEAF EXTRACT AMELIORATES CEREBRAL CORTEX TISSUE DAMAGE IN STZ-INDUCED DIABETES CONDITION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The method should be clear and add more detail. The authors have to describe how to induce the diabetes such what the reason of use 65 mg/kg streptozocin and how often rats were fed, possibly you followed the standard protocol or other references. And also 200mg/kg moringa extract, why you have used this concentration may be you have other experiment to support. For group 2 when the rats were fed moringa extract, you did after you would got DM rats , right?	Details have been added ... Details have been added ...
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	You have to say what relation of diabetic and brain damage how is mechanism of these? Otherwise you cannot show your histology and blood glucose result The Accu check glucometer measure the glucose or blood sugar level, please check and use the correct word.	This is an on-going area of research, evidences are provided of effects; further research would validate mechanism. Many popular phenomena till now still do not have well known mechanisms. Our results are basically within the scope of its design, not necessarily what else could be opined Noted ...
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Your work is quite good but please plays attention in your method and describe carefully. (where you get the reagent, instrument...)	Noted ...