



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBcRR_19825
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Monosodium glutamate on Electrolytes and some Biochemical parameters in Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title: Recast to “Effect of monosodium glutamate supplemented diet on some serum electrolytes and biochemical parameters in rats” Reconcile lines 15-17 “There was no significant ($p < 0.05$) difference in both urea and creatinine concentrations in the experimental groups when compared to the control group” with lines 130 - 132 “The urea concentration of rats in the experimental groups were significantly ($p < 0.05$) lower than the control group”. In the conclusion section, delete “Although the consumption of MSG has been controversial, yet,” and recast to read “This study indicated that administration of MSG supplemented diet elevated the concentration of potassium ion but decreased that of urea, suggesting hyperkalemia and hypourcemia in the exposed rats” Maruna and Trinder [12] was cited in the text but not included in the reference list??	The title has been reframed to “Some Electrolytes and Biochemical Changes in in Rats fed Monosodium glutamate” The observation has been effected The observation has been effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study was well designed and handled to achieve the stated aim.	