



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_20705
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Antibacterial Potency of Ethyl acetate and Ethanolic Extracts of Murinda Lucida Leaf, Stem, and Bark on Mycobacterium Species, isolated from the chest Hospital, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Some of my comments are done on the attached manuscript. In addition to the following :- -----The results section should be arranged again. Lack of SYNTAX is very often. You may be able to construct less numbers of tables. ---DISCUSSION section. Please rewrite it again, concentrate on your results, and compare it with others. A microbiologist person could be of help. -----Conclusion section: Be specific and concentrate on your results. Do not go away	Corrections highlighted in the attached manuscript have been effected. Attempts have been made to correct syntax errors in the manuscript. Conclusion has been streamlined to be in tandem with the results obtained.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This work is not bad. But It needs major rearrangements and corrections. Some attention to SYNTAX.	