



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_15296
Title of the Manuscript:	Interobserver agreement for Pap smear and visual inspection tests in southern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Kindly mention the system adopted for studying pap smear test in your study whether its Bethesda system or any other	It was the Bethesda system 2001 (lines 87-95).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Kindly look into the grammar regarding using 'an' in front of non-voel in line 60 under methods and materials. - - In inclusion criteria, mention regarding women with intact uterus was done, what about women with cervix, having undergone subtotal hysterectomy ?! - - Consider a separate heading for inclusion criteria as you have given for exclusion criteria - - Under conclusions, in line 222, instead of 'refreshing courses' different vocabulary like 'periodic update' or 'periodic revision' can be considered.	Done. We added this information on line 74 and "total" to qualify the type of hysterectomy (line 76). Done (lines 72-80) Done (line 305)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall the article has been well presented	