SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_JAMPS_15296**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Interobserver agreement for Pap smear and visual inspection tests in southern Brazil**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In lines 131 to 139, there is a mistake in the values in the table. In pap concordance between observer 1 and observer 2, the total of 33 + 10 does not add up to 70 as shown in the table. Also using the given values, the kappa for the same is not 0.77. Please review your data for the same, as it will affect your outcome and discussion**	The typos have been corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	In line 35 – Please give the full form of ALTS – with reference to the study. In line 51 – it may be more appropriate to use the words "alternative screening method " rather than "important shortcut "	The whole paragraph was removed as suggested by another reviewer. OK (lines 53-54)
Optional/General comments		