SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_JAMPS_14688**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INVESTIGATION OF RELAXATIVE EFFECT OF STEM-BARK EXTRACT OF Erythrophleum suaveolens ON RAT PHRENIC NERVE-DIAPHRAGM MUSCLE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: should be organized with subtitles as following** **1- Background: two statements, one about the background and one about the aim of the study** **2- Methods** **3- Results** **4- Conclusion** **The statement of problem is not clear, if there is debate regarding the action of the stem-bark extract Should be mentioned** **Results: completely not clear, the section of the discussion should be moved to the results** **The discussion: should argue about the effect of the drug not** **Conclusion and recommendation better to be gathered in one section**	Comments complied with.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		