SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_JAMPS_14688**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INVESTIGATION OF RELAXATIVE EFFECT OF STEM-BARK EXTRACT OF Erythrophleum suaveolens ON RAT PHRENIC NERVE-DIAPHRAGM MUSCLE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No statistics was carried out on the results**	Table and Bar charts illustrating the heights of twitches of E. suaveolens and that of Hexamethonium in comparison were put in place.
Minor REVISION comments	Pg1 under abstract. Line talked about the soluble fraction But in line 3, the term extract was used. Author should use the term soluble fraction or crude extract **Ethical issues:** Ethical approval is not stated on the use of laboratory animals by the author	Comments were put in place. Two of the authors that handled the animals are licensed to handle laboratory animals.
Optional/General comments	Discussions on results are not accurate without proper statistical data analysis.	This has been put in place accordingly.