



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_44773
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting Attitude and Behaviour towards Organ Donation among Medical Students in Melaka-Manipal Medical College: A Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript is quite interesting and seems technically sound. Authors should address the followings: The country should be included in the title.</p> <p>Abstract Aim and not aims. Aim should capture manuscript tentative title. Grammatical errors noticed here. Please correct. Significant risk factors should carry their respective P values and those not significant as well. A statement is required at the conclusive part as a recommendation. Keywords: Authors should use words that appeared on the title or those critical to the study. Introduction: Check for gross grammatical and syntax errors. The aim at the end of the introduction should capture the modified title. Methodology Repetition of objectives here. Please expunge. Introduce all acronyms before using them. The methodology should be break down to sub headings. Which of the statistical software version did you use? Check for typographical and grammatical errors.</p> <p>Results Start results with words and not roman numerals. Percentages should be round off to one decimal place. Since (%) is defined at the headings, no need of representing them in each result. Description of results is very cumbersome. Here you only need the summary of results before presenting tables. Find a better way of representing Bar charts. What is high and low scores? Discussion should be sequentially presented following results format. Relate each parameter (outcome) to previous and similar studies. No conclusion and recommendation in your study. Was the Research approved by a research ethic committee?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>References should be based on Journal guideline. No need of including questionnaire sample here except it's part of the Journal guideline.</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oti Baba Victor
Department, University & Country	Nasarawa State University, Nigeria