

# Assessment of clinical outcomes of outpatients following chest X-ray imaging performed at King Abdul–Aziz Medical City, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Chest X-ray imaging is one of the most commonly performed examinations in many hospitals and diagnostic centers around the globe. Many people have chest X-rays before surgery, although a diagnosis is made based on the findings in only a few cases and each procedure adds to the radiation dose accumulation.

**Aims:** To assess the clinical outcomes of outpatients following chest X-ray imaging performed.

**Materials and Methods:** In total, the data for 185 patients (83 men, 102 female; age range 15 to 90 and above) who underwent chest X-rays were analyzed. The study was conducted by collecting a data from medical records using stratified randomly sampling technique held at King Abdul–Aziz Medical City, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh in Radiology Department between September and March 2017 using a retrospective quantitative human study design based on the medical chart review.

**Results:** Analysis of the collected data of a total of 185 patients revealed that 73.5% of the patients had negative radiological findings, while 26.5% had positive radiological findings. The majority of patients were females, comprising 55.1% of the total sample size, while 44.9% were male patients.

**Conclusion:** From the results of our study, we conclude that that most cases had negative radiological findings regardless of the gender.

**Keywords:** *Electromagnetic Waves; Chest X-ray; Radiological Finding; Medical Chart Review.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

A simple way to detect pathology in the human body is by X-ray imaging. X-rays are electromagnetic waves that can pass through a patient's body quickly and X-ray imaging is relatively harmless because the dose is carefully monitored. Also, you discuss the issue of replacing X-rays with other technique to avoid the possibility of damage caused by X-rays.[1-2]

Chest X-rays are one of the most commonly performed examinations in many hospitals and diagnostic centers around the globe. [3] Previous studies of the rate of X-ray examinations indicated that 48 million chest X-rays have been performed over the years. Chest X-rays are performed both pre- and post-operatively to identify abnormalities of the lungs and airways, heart and blood vessels and bones. [4] On the other hand, the dose received by the patient

that might lead to biological effects is a cause for concern. Many people had a chest X-rays before surgery, although a diagnosis is made based on the findings in only a few cases and each procedure adds to the radiation dose accumulation. Furthermore, some hospitals require every patient to have a chest X-ray. For those patients who did not obtain a diagnosis from the X-ray, the risk of radiation damage remains, even at low doses. [5] According to data collected for 2014, among 1,787 pre-operative chest X-rays performed in patients undergoing elective surgery, there was no official report for 827 of the films. Moreover, these data revealed that cardiovascular disease referring to the most common pathologies (45.8%) identified by chest X-rays, followed by systemic disease (17.7%) and healthy patients aged over 45 years (16.8%) respectively. One study showed that chest X-rays did not affect the decision of radiologists to refer patients for surgery. The Royal College of Radiologists published the first major review of the pre-operative chest radiograph, which showed that this type of imaging did not alter the decision made to undergo elective non-cardiopulmonary surgery in 10,619 operative or anesthetic patients. [7-10] The probability of abnormalities detected in chest X-rays increases with the age of the patient. [11-13] One study showed that the chances of having chronic disorders, such as cardiomegaly and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, increased with ages. [14]

## **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS / EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS / METHODOLOGY (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)**

This study was conducted at King Abdul-Aziz Medical City (KAMC), one of the largest medical cities in Riyadh, which is under the administration of the Ministry of the National Guard Health Affairs (NGHA). Referring to our study setting and subject, chest X-rays were performed in the Radiology Department of the Emergency and Ambulatory Care Units. All chest X-rays were performed in male and female outpatients aged over 14 years. According to the NGHA data, the number of subjects visiting the Emergency and Ambulatory Care Unit of the Radiology Department for chest X-ray is estimated to be 3,096 per month with a 7% margin of error and 95% confidence level. The minimum sample size required was 185, calculated using the Rao soft online sample size calculator. The Stratified Random Sampling technique was used. The data for subjects who underwent chest X-rays during the period from January to December were collected using a suitably structured form. The collected data were entered into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets and transferred to SPSS version 22 for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to explain the demographic characteristics of the subjects according to availability of the records in the picture archiving communicating system (PACS) of the Radiology Department. Frequencies and percentages were also used to represent the information regarding the usefulness of pre-operative chest X-rays, most common diseases affecting chest radiographs and the ratio between the abnormal and normal radiological finding of the subjects. Appropriate statistical tests were used based on the types of variables and the data.

## **3. RESULTS**

In total, the data for 185 patients who underwent chest X-rays were analyzed. All of the subjects (male and female) were aged over 14 years and seen as outpatients at the Radiology Department of King Abdul-Aziz Medical City. Most of the patients were aged from 61 to 70 years (22.8%), followed by the group aged from 51 to 60 years (16.8%). In contrast, patients in the 15 to 20 years and 91 to 100 years age groups comprised only 6.5% and 2.2% of the study sample as in the Table 1. Similarly in the Table 1 listed below most of the patients were female (102 female and 83 male). Data were collected from 30 patients in the Ambulatory Care Unit and 155 in the Emergency Care Unit 155 patients, representing 16.2%

and 83.8% of the total sample, respectively in the Table 2. As shown in the Table 3, the highest proportion (30.3%) of the sample individuals had chest pain, followed by those who had shortness of breath (25.4%). Cough, nasogastric tube, and palpitation were each represented by 1.1% of the proportion of subjects, while infection, biliary inflammation, fever, pneumothorax, pre-surgery, pain in the upper abdomen and swelling of neck were each represented by 0.5%. According to the Table 4 it is reported that in total, 136 (73.5%) of the patients had negative radiological findings, while 49 (26.5%) had positive radiological findings. Furthermore, among the total number of patients (185), 135 (73%) had no related diseases, 40 (21.6%) had lung disease, six (3.2%) had heart disease and four (2.2%) had bone diseases. As shown in Table 5, plural effusion was identified as the most common pathology (8.1%), followed by enlarged cardiac silhouette in five patients (2.7%), bronchovascular and pulmonary edema each identified in four patients (2.2%). Cardiomegaly, atelectasis, hyperinflation and infection were each identified in three patients (1.6%). Two patients were affected by pneumonia (1.1%), while pneumothorax, unknown lung disease, cancer, compression fracture, spinal degeneration and left para-tracheal soft tissue density were each identified in one patient (0.5%).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we analyzed data from 185 randomly selected patients in King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Riyadh. This study is first of its kind in Riyadh city to the best of our knowledge and very few similar studies were available for comparison worldwide. Most of the studies were found to be related to routine chest x-rays in intensive care units and critically ill patients. Based on our study the data were collected from the Emergency Care Unit (83.9%) and Ambulatory Care Unit (16.1%). Most of the patients were female (55.1%, whereas male patients were 44.9%). The main indication for chest X-ray was chest pain (56/185 patients; 30.3%), followed by shortness of breath, routine chest examinations, Pre-operation X-rays pre-employment examination, trauma patients and patients with abdominal pain, atelectasis and pneumonia. The eighth most common indications were palpitation (irregular rapid heartbeat), cough, vital signs, fever, nasogastric tube, lymphadenopathy and pneumothorax. The least frequent indications were neck swelling, upper abdominal pain, and admission, cholangitis, infection, leg swelling, dysphagia, and pre-stent operation, post-operation, follow-up and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. According to the consensus opinion of the American College of Radiology-expert panel realized that the daily-routine radiographs are indicated for patients with acute cardiopulmonary problems and for patients receiving mechanical ventilation [21] Furthermore in another study, consensus was reached that CXRs should be considered routinely after certain procedures (for example, insertion of feeding tube, endotracheal tube, central line catheter, and chest tube) [22]. Our results also indicate that negative findings (no disease found) in most of patients (73.5%), with positive findings in only 26.5% of the patients. In relevant to previous study a total of 65 ICUs was received the questionnaire and it was reported that chest radiographs are considered essential for verification of the position of invasive devices (81%) and for diagnosing pneumothorax, pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome (82%, 74% and 69%, respectively) There is notable lack of consensus on chest radiography practice in the Netherlands.[23]

In a study of the prevalence and characteristics of abnormal pre-operative chest X-rays in 960 patients undergoing elective surgery, Dej-arkom et al. <sup>(7)</sup> reported positive findings in 50.5% of the sample. It can be speculated that the high incidence of abnormalities identified

in chest radiographs was because some of the patients underwent cardiothoracic and cardiac catheterization. In another study it was stated that radiological finding was the decrease in abnormalities presumed to be present on CXRs. Indeed, a 30% reduction in expected predefined findings was observed.[24] Furthermore, in another study, the safety of abandoning routine CXRs in critically ill patients remains uncertain and mandates further investigation.[25] In view of the fact to similar study, there is lack of consensus on chest Radiography and the value and effectiveness of quality in daily routine chest radiography may doubt.[23]

**Table1.Demographic characteristics amongst the patient visiting King Abdul-Aziz Medical City (n=185), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 2017**

Demographic Characteristics	Number & percentage
Gender	
Male	83 (44.9%)
Female	102 (55.1%)
Age (y)	
15-20 y	12 (6.4%)
21-30 y	21 (11.4%)
31-40 y	20 (10.8%)
41-50 y	21 (11.4%)
51-60 y	31 (16.8%)
61-70 y	41 (22.8%)
71-80 y	22 (11.9%)
81-90 y	13 (7%)
91-100 y	4 (2.2%)

**Table 2. Distribution of the sample individuals according to the variable of X-rays Unit visiting King Abdul-Aziz Medical City (n=185), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 2017**

Type of radiologic X-ray unit	Number & percentage
Emergency Care Unit	155 (83.9%)
Ambulatory Care Unit	30 (16.1%)

**Table 3. Distribution of the sample according to the variable of complaint or indication**

Chief complaints	Number & Percentage of subjects N=185	
	No.	%
Routine	25	13.5
Shortness of breath	47	25.4
Chest pain	56	30.3
Trauma	7	3.8
Atelectasis	3	1.6
Cough	2	1.1
Palpitations	2	1.1
Pneumonia	3	1.6
Neck swelling	1	0.5
Upper abdominal pain	1	0.5
Nasogastric tube	2	1.1
Pre-operation	8	4.3
Abdominal pain	4	2.2
Hospital Admission	1	0.5
Cholangitis	1	0.5
Infection	1	0.5
Vital Signs	2	1.1
Leg swelling	1	0.5
Lymphadenopathy	2	1.1
Dysphagia	1	0.5
Pre-employment	7	3.8

Before stent anti-Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)	1	0.5
Chronic obstruction pulmonary disease	1	0.5
Fever	2	1.1
Follow-up	1	0.5
Pneumothorax	2	1.1
Post-operation	1	0.5

**Table 4. Distribution of the sample according to the variable of Results and their related diseases**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Number &amp; percentage of subjects N=185</b>
<b>Results</b>	
Positive	30 (16.1%)
Negative	155 (83.9%)
<b>Related Diseases</b>	
None	135 (73%)
Lungs	40 (21.6%)
Heart	6 (3.2%)
Bones	4 (2.2%)

**Table 5. Distribution of the sample according to the variable of radiological finding with respect to Pathology**

<b>Radiological Pathology</b>	<b>Number &amp; Percentage of subjects N=185</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
None	136	73.5%
Broncho vascular	4	2.2%

Cardiac silhouette enlarged	5	2.7%
Pulmonary edema	4	2.2%
Infection	3	1.6%
Hyperinflation	3	1.6%
Pleural effusion	15	8.1%
Osteopenia	1	0.5%
Atelectasis	3	1.6%
Cardiomegaly	3	1.6%
Pneumothorax	1	0.5%
Lung Disease	1	0.5%
Cancer	1	0.5%
Compression fracture	1	0.5%
Spinal degenerative	1	0.5%
Left para-tracheal soft tissue density	1	0.5%
Pneumonia	2	1.1%

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Our study revealed negative radiological findings in 73.5% of the chest X-rays performed at the King Abdulaziz Medical City. Chest pain and shortness of breath were the most common indications for chest X-rays in the majority of patients. Subsequently, the majority of the radiological findings were related to lung disease, whereas bone disease was rare, with pleural effusion as the most prevalent condition. Based on these findings, we suggest replacing X-ray imaging with other examinations, such as medical ultrasound, to minimize the risk to patients of the effects of ionizing radiation. Subsequently, similar studies with large samples are required in order to get an empirical data and it will definitely impact towards the cost effective wise in the health care sector of Saudi Arabia.

#### REFERENCES

1. X-rays. Available at: <http://www.nobelprize.org/educational/physics/x-rays/> [Cited on: 28 Oct 2012].
2. Sandhya Pruthi, M.D. X-ray definition. <http://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/x-ray/basics/definition/prc-20009519-102k> (accessed 9 March 2017).
3. Joo HS, Wong J, Naik VN, Savoldelli GL. The value of screening preoperative chest x-rays: a systematic review. *Can J Anaesth* 2005;52:568–74.
4. Heath Resources Administration, National Center for Health Statistics, Estimates From the Health 1973, Vital and Health Statistics Series 10-NO. (HRA) 75-1522, October 1974.
5. Brian Krans. Chest x-ray. <http://www.healthline.com> (accessed 10 March 2017).
6. The American Cancer Society medical and editorial content team. Side effects from radiation therapy to the chest. <https://www.cancer.org> (accessed 10 March 2017).

- 205 7. Sukanyadejarkmom MD, Tanigakitachai MD, Arunotaisiriussawakul MD. Prevalence and  
206 characteristic of abnormal pre-operative chest x-ray in patients undergoing elective surgery.  
207 Journal of the medical association of Thailand .2014
- 208 8.Thomsen HS, Gottlieb J, Madsen JK,. [Routine x-ray examination of the thorax prior to  
209 surgicalintervention under general anesthesia]. UgeskrLaeger. 1978;140(14):765-768.
- 210 9.Preoperative chest radiology. National study by the Royal College of Radiologists. Lancet.  
211 1979;2(8133):83-86.
- 212 10.Working Party on the Effective Use of Diagnostic Cardiology. Guidelines on pre-operative  
213 chest x-ray.London: Royal College of Radiologists, 1982.
- 214 11.Gagner M, Chiasson A. Preoperative chest x-ray films in elective surgery: a valid  
215 screening tool. Can J Surg.1990;33(4):271-274.
- 216 12.Smetana GW, Lawrence VA, Cornell JE. Preoperative pulmonary risk stratification for  
217 noncardiothoracicsurgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. Ann  
218 Intern Med. 2006;144(8):581-595.
- 219 13.Bouilliot JL, Fingerhut A, Paquet JC, Hay JM, Coggia M. Are routine preoperative chest  
220 radiographs useful ingeneral surgery? A prospective, multicentre study in 3959 patients.  
221 Association des Chirurgiens del'AssistancePublique pour les Evaluations medicales. Eur J  
222 Surg.1996;162(8):597-604.
- 223 14.Boghossian SG, Mooradian AD. Usefulness of routine preoperative chest roentgenograms  
224 in elderly patients. JAm Geriatr Soc. 1987; 35(2):142-146.
- 225 15.Smetana GW, Macpherson DS. The case against routine preoperative laboratory testing.  
226 Med Clin North Am.2003;87(1):7-40.
- 227 16.Consumer Health Choices. Chest X-rays before Surgery.  
228 <http://www.choosingwisely.org/patient-resources/chest-x-rays-before-surgery/> (accessed 1  
229 June 2017).
- 230 17.Sommerville TE, Murray WB. Information yield from routine pre-operative chest  
231 radiography and electrocardiography. S Afr Med J 1992; 81(4):190–6.
- 232 18. Archer C, Levy AR, McGregor M. Value of routine preoperative chest x-rays: a meta-  
233 analysis. Can J Anaesth 1993; 40; 1022–7.
- 234 19. Irum Sabir Ali, Mumtaz Khan, Muhammad Atif Khan. Routine preoperative chest X-ray  
235 and its impact on decision making in patients undergoing electives surgical procedures. J  
236 Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2013; 25(1-2).
- 237 20.Brandon C. Maughan, MD, MHS; Nicholas Asselin, Do; Jennifer L. Carey, MD; Andrew  
238 Sucov, MD; Jonathan H. Valente, MD. 1. False -Negative chest radiographs in  
239 emergency department diagnosis of pneumonia. Rhode Island Medical Journal 2014;  
240 97(8):20-23.
- 241 21. American College of Radiology: Routine daily portable X-ray. p. at <http://www.acr.org/>  
242 (last assessed 22 May 2018)



- 243 22. Hejblum G, loos V, Vibert JF, Boelle PY, Chalumeau-Lemoine L, Chouaid C, Valleron  
244 AJ, Guidet B. A web-based Delphi study on the indications of chest radiographs for patients  
245 in ICUs. Chest. 2008; 16:1107–1112. doi: 10.1378/chest.06-3014
- 246 23. Marleen E Graat, Karin A Hendrikse, Peter E Spronk, Johanna C Korevaar, Jaap Stoker,  
247 Marcus J Schultz. Chest radiography practice in critically ill patients: a postal survey in the  
248 Netherlands .BMC Med Imaging. 2006; 6: 8
- 249 24. Price MB, Grant MJ, Welkie K. Financial impact of elimination of routine chest  
250 radiographs in a pediatric intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 1999; 27:1588–1593
- 251 25. Anusoumya Ganapathy, Neill KJ Adhikari, Jamie Spiegelman, Damon C Scales. Routine  
252 chest x-rays in intensive care units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2012;  
253 16(2): R68

# **APPENDIX:**

IRB Approval (I)

Data collection form (II)

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs



المملكة العربية السعودية  
وزارة الحرس الوطني - الشؤون الصحية



King Abdullah International Medical Research Center

(KAIMRC)

(84) 94459



1515



94466



irb@nqha.med.sa

**IRB Office**

Memo Ref.No. IRBC/1176/17

E-CTS Ref. No



RYD-17-419812-103872

Study Number:

**SP17/208/R**

Study Title:

**Assessment of Clinical Outcomes for Outpatient Chest X-Ray Did in King Abdulaziz Medical City, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh**

Study Sponsor:

**Non-grant**

IRB Approval Date:

**19 September 2017**

IRB Review Type:

☒ **Expedited Review**

☐ **Full Board**

Study site(s):

**Central Region**

Dear **Dr. Ali Aldhebaib**

Assistant Professor, Radiological Sciences

King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences

Together with the **Co-investigators:** Adel Ali Alharbi, Faisal Sultan Alotaibi, Abdulrhman Abdullah Alkhulaifi, Mohammed Abdullatif Al-baijan, Khaled Obaid Alharbi, Mr. Gokulchandra Oinam, Mr. Ziad Almutlaq.

After reviewing your submitted research proposal/protocol and related documents, the IRB has **APPROVED** the submission.

The approval includes the following related documents:

Document/Title	Version	Date
Research Proposal	01	19 Sep 2017
Data Collection	01	19 Sep 2017

The approval of the research study is valid for **one year** from the above approval to expiration date.

**Terms of Approval:**

- **Annual Reports:** An Annual report must be submitted for approval to avoid termination/suspension of your research.
- **Financial report:** If your study is funded project, details financial report should be submitted with the scientific report.
- **Final Report:** After completion of the study, a final report must be forwarded to the IRB.
- **Retention of original data:** The PI is responsible for the storage and retention of original data pertaining to the project for a minimum of five years.
- **Reporting of adverse events or unanticipated problems:** The PI is responsible to report any serious or unexpected adverse events or unanticipated problems, which could involve a risk to participants or others.
- **Biological samples:** No biological samples to be shipped out of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia without prior IRB approval.
- **Participant incentives:** No financial compensation or gifts to be given to participants without prior IRB approval.
- **Storage of biological samples:** All biological samples collected for the purpose of this research must be stored in the KAIMRC related repository.

**Dr. Abdullah Adlan**  
Chairman, Institutional Review Board (IRB)  
Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs

AA/AS/jbr

27 SEP 2017

*A. Adlan*

P.O. Box 22490, Riyadh 11426  
Tel. 8011111  
Telex : 403450 NGRMED SJ  
(ORACLE 29795)

ص. ب. ٢٢٤٩٠ الرياض ١١٤٢٦  
تلفون: ٨٠١١١١١  
تلكسي: ٤٠٣٤٥٠

[illegible]

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs



المملكة العربية السعودية  
وزارة الحرس الوطني - الشؤون الصحية



King Abdullah International Medical Research Center

(KAIMRC)

(B4) 94459



1515



94466



irb@ngha.med.sa

### IRB Office

Memo Ref.No. IRBC/1176/17

E-CTS Ref. No



RYD-17-419812-103872

Study Number:

SP17/208/R

Study Title:

Assessment of Clinical Outcomes for Outpatient Chest X-Ray Did in King Abdulaziz Medical City, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh

Study Sponsor:

Non-grant

IRB Approval Date:

19 September 2017

IRB Review Type:

☒ Expedited Review

☐ Full Board

Study site(s):

Central Region

Dear **Dr. Ali Aldhebaib**

Assistant Professor, Radiological Sciences

King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences

Together with the **Co-investigators**: Adel Ali Alharbi, Faisal Sultan Alotaibi, Abdulrhman Abdullah Alkhulaifi, Mohammed Abdullatif Al-baijan, Khaled Obaid Alharbi, Mr. Gokulchandra Oinam, Mr. Ziad Almutlaq.

After reviewing your submitted research proposal/protocol and related documents, the IRB has APPROVED the submission.

The approval includes the following related documents:

Document/Title	Version	Date
Research Proposal	01	19 Sep 2017
Data Collection	01	19 Sep 2017

The approval of the research study is valid for **one year** from the above approval to expiration date.

#### Terms of Approval:

- **Annual Reports:** An Annual report must be submitted for approval to avoid termination/suspension of your research.
- **Financial report:** If your study is funded project, details financial report should be submitted with the scientific report.
- **Final Report:** After completion of the study, a final report must be forwarded to the IRB.
- **Retention of original data:** The PI is responsible for the storage and retention of original data pertaining to the project for a minimum of five years.
- **Reporting of adverse events or unanticipated problems:** The PI is responsible to report any serious or unexpected adverse events or unanticipated problems, which could involve a risk to participants or others.
- **Biological samples:** No biological samples to be shipped out of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia without prior IRB approval.
- **Participant incentives:** No financial compensation or gifts to be given to participants without prior IRB approval.
- **Storage of biological samples:** All biological samples collected for the purpose of this research must be stored in the KAIMRC related repository.

27 SEP 2017

**Dr. Abdullah Adlan**

Chairman, Institutional Review Board (IRB)  
Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs

AA/AS/jbr

Adel.

