



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_45082
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Periosteitis Does Not Influence The Peripheral Nerve Regeneration In Wistar Rats After Axonotmesis
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I feel highly privileged for being granted a chance to review this article. The topic is very interesting and has been elaborated in a very effective manner. The authors have created an understandable and clear story. Some grammatical errors are observed while reading the manuscript should be eliminated before publication. The title of this article has a spelling mistake " Periosteitis " that should be removed. The names of groups in some parts are really confusing. The authors are suggested to review and correct such issues. In my opinion, only graphical data would not be sufficient to support the idea. The inclusion of representative images will of great importance to improve the quality of manuscript. If authors, measured any functional parameters, such information will be helpful to confirm the nerve injury leading to functional loss.	Thank you for your comments and the text was altered
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	