Case Study

1

2

3

4

5

6

<u>Authors-Dr.b sunil Rao¹Dr.Naman</u> <u>Shah²Dr.siddhesh Bandekar³Dr.Shirin</u> <u>Kshirsagar³,Dr.Nikhil sathwane³,Dr.Anki sharma³</u>

<u>ENDOCROWN-A UNIQUE WAY OF</u>

RETENTION-CASE REPORT

7 <u>ABSTRACT</u>

8 All the teeth that have undergone root canal therapy require some form of restoration to enable them 9 to function again. Endodontic treatment removes the vital contents of the canal, which subsequently 10 leads to reduction in elasticity, desiccation and increases brittleness of remaining tooth structure.

The loss of structural integrity increases the incidence of crown fractures and microlekage at the margins of restoration in endodontically treated teeth compared with 'vital' teeth. Minimally invasive preparation to preserve maximum amount of tooth structure is considered to be the standard main goal for restoring teeth.

This is a case of endodontically treated right maxillary 1st molar requiring post endodontic management which was treated with EndoCrown.

17

18 Key words- endodontically treated teeth, Endocrown.

19 INTRODUCTION-

20 Post-endodontic restoration should preserve and protect the existing tooth structure, while 21 satisfactorily restoring esthetics, form, and function. The goal is to achieve minimally invasive 22 preparations with maximal tissue conservation for restoring endodontically treated teeth. This will help to mechanically stabilize the tooth-restoration complex and increase surfaces available
for adhesion¹.

The treatment modalities available depend on structural integrity, functional load and esthetics. In this perspective, Endocrown is a good alternative to full crown followed by post and core in cases with endodontically treated teeth with short crown height but sufficient tissue available for adhesion and stability.

In this paper we have presented a case report of 18 months follow up of zirconia EndoCrownfabrication and luted with resin cement.

31 CASE REPORT-

A 25 year-old male patient reported with a fractured restoration in upper right back region of upper jaw. On clinical examination, tooth number 16 was root canal treated one month back (Figure 1). It was asymptomatic and the surrounding structures were normal. The radiographic findings revealed well obturated canals with no periapical changes.(fig-2)



36

37 Fig-1:occlusal view after post-obturation. Fig-2 ;radiographic image of well done RCT

Various treatment modalities were discussed and conservative approach of restoring the tooth with an endocrown was decided as the treatment option, as more than half the residual tooth structure was remaining and there were no occlusal wear facets. The occlusogingival height of the remaining crown structure was approximately 4 mm.

42 After removal of the provisional restoration, preparation for endocrown was initiated. Undercuts 43 were blocked using Resin modified glass ionomer cement (Fuji II LC GC ASIA). The 44 preparation consisted of a circular equigingival buttjoint margin and central retention cavity into 45 the entire pulp chamber constructing both the crown and the core as a single unit. The 46 appropriate reduction of the buccal and lingual walls was done (Figure 3)



47

48 fig-3:-TOOTH PREPARATION.

49 Interocclusal space was checked to achieve a clearance of 2 mm. Retraction cord was placed and

50 impressions made with polyvinyl siloxane impression material (Aquasil LV, Putty/Light Body,

- 51 Dentsply,Germany) using putty wash technique.
- 52 The restoration was fabricated using the lost wax technique of investing and wax pattern burnout
- followed by pressing of the ceramic ingot in the pressable furnace at a press temperature of 915–
- 54 920°C. It was then finished and polished with Proxyt pink polishing paste (Ivoclar/Vivadent,
- 55 Schaan/Liechtenstein). The cementation was done by resin luting cement (panavia F 2.0 kuraray
- japan). A 6 and 18-months followup shows no signs of decementation, No recurrent caries, No

57 fracture. No radiographic changes evident (Figures, 4, 5, 6 7 8).



58

59 fig-4:-Endocrown.



61 fig-5:-Etching and cementati





63 fig-6:- Final cementation.



65

66 fig-7:- Buccal view after cementation.

67



- 68
- 69 fig-8:- follow up after 18 months..
- 70

71 Discussion-

- A successful endodontic treatment depends not only on proper obturation but a good post-
- real endodontic restoration to integrate the pulpless tooth with the masticatory apparatus.⁵ In the era
- of minimal invasive dentistry teeth with more than half of the coronal tooth structure is missing,
- complete occlusal coverage is achieved conservatively using newer method EndoCrown.
- Various conservative treatment modalities based on the same conservative approach such as
- Amalcore, sharonlay for pre-molars, inlays, and onlays are based on this principle. The
- 78 Amalcore harnessed the large and retentive contours of the root canal orifices and the pulp
- chamber to provide a monoblock foundation. Inlays and onlays promoted the concept of a

- supragingival finish line and conservative preparations. The endocrown is a newer esthetic and
- 81 conservative addition to this continuum.
- All ceramics have become more popular in this era because of esthetics as well as function 6 .
- 83 Custom shaping and precise milling of ceramic restorations is now a reality which can be
- 84 achieved by CAD/CAM systems and software.
- 85 The 18-month follow-up in the case of EndoCrown showed no esthetic and functional
- 86 degradation on clinical as well as radiographic examination. These results are in agreement with
- 87 the previous studies.
- **Bindl and Mormann** demonstrated similar results in a clinical study of Cerec EndoCrown
- shows that out of 19 EndoCrown only one molar EndoCrown failed because of recurrent caries ⁷
- 90 Similar results were reported by Lander and Dietschvi where a three year follow up of
- 91 Empress II EndoCrown shows excellent results in terms of esthetics, restoration stability, and
- 92 tissue preservation 8.
- 93
- 94 Merits of EndoCrown over conventional crowns are-I. Reduced number of interfaces in the
- 95 restorative system based on monoblock concepts, so Stress concentration is less because of less
- 96 homogenous materials. II-The preparation design is conservative compared to the traditional
- 97 crown maintaining biologic width and hence less damage to periodontium .III-Bonding surface
- 98 offered by the pulpal chamber of the EndoCrown is often equal or even superior to that obtained
- from the bonding of a radicular post of 8 mm depth. IV- Application and polymerization of
- 100 luting resin cement is also better controlled.
- 101 Case selection is critical as we all know due to differences in elastic modulus of harder ceramic 102 and softer dentin so there are disadvantages such as debonding and risk of root fracture.
- 103 Conclusion-

EndoCrown gives better retention in cases where there is more than half of the residual toothremaining with minimal load and lateral stresses.

106

107 Consent Disclaimer:

108

As per international standard or university standard written patient consent has been collectedand preserved by the author(s).

111

112 **References**

- 113114 [1] K. Gulabivala, "Restoration of the root treated tooth," in *Endodontics*, C. J. R. Stock, K.
 - Gulabivala, and R. Walker, Eds., pp. 279–305, Elsevier, 3rd edition, 2004.

- 116 [2]] D. Dietschi, S. Bouillaguet, and A. Sadan, "Restoration of the endodontically treated tooth,"
- in *Cohen's Pathways of the Pulp*, K. M. Hargreaves and S. Cohen, Eds., pp. 777–807, Elsevier
 Mosby, 10th edition, 2011.
- 119 [3] V. Veselinovic, A. Todorovic, D. Lisjak, and V. Lazic, "Restoring endodontically treated
- teeth with all ceramic endo-crowns case report," *StomatoloskiGlasnikSrbije*, vol. 55, pp. 54–64,
- 121 2008.
- 122 [4] D. Dietschi, O. Duc, I. Krejci, and A. Sadan, "Biomechanical considerations for the
- restoration of endodontically treated teeth: a systematic review of the literature—part 2
- (Evaluation of fatigue behavior, interfaces, and in vivo studies)," *QuintessenceInternational*, vol.
 39, no. 2, pp. 117–129, 2008.
- 126 [5] T. S. Vinothkumar, D. Kandaswamy, and P. Chanana, "CAD/CAM fabricated single unit all
- 127 ceramic post core crown restoration," *Journal of Conservative Dentistry*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 86–
 128 89, 2011.
- 129 [6] Roopak Bose Carlos, Mohan Thomas Nainan, ShaminaPradhan, Roshni Sharma, Shiny
- 130 Benjamin, and Rajani Rose, "Restoration of Endodontically Treated Molars Using All Ceramic
- 131 Endocrowns," Case Reports in Dentistry, vol. 2013,
- 132 [7] A. BindlandW.H.M⁻ormann, "Clinical evaluation of adhesively placed cerecendo-crowns
- after 2 years—preliminary results," *Journal of Adhesive Dentistry*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 255–265,
- 134 1999.
- 135 [8-]E. Lander and D. Dietschi, "Endocrowns: a clinical report," *Quintessence International*, vol.
- 136 39, no. 2, pp. 99–106, 2008.
- 137 [9] F. Zarone, R. Sorrentino, D. Apicella et al., "Evaluation of the biomechanical behavior of
- maxillary central incisors restored by means of endocrowns compared to a natural tooth: a 3D
- static linear finite elements analysis," *Dental Materials*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1035–1044, 2006.
- 140 [10] C.-L. Lin, Y.-H.Chang, and C.-A.Pai, "Evaluation of failure risks in ceramic restorations for
- 141 endodontically treated premolar with MOD preparation," *Dental Materials*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp.
- **142 431–438**, 2011.
- 143
- 144