SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_35431	
Title of the Manuscript:	CHOLESTEROL LOWERING EFFECT OF Cnidoscolous aconitifolius IN RABBITS INDUCED WITH HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIA USING EGG YOLK	
Type of the Article		

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION	Title:	
comments	• You can write it as (Cholesterol Lowering Effect of Cnidoscolous Aconitifolius leave extracts in Egg Yolk induced Hypercholesterolemia in Rabbit).	
	Methods	
	 Please I want to ask if 7dys is enough to induced hypercholesterolemia and also 7 days is enough for treatment to lowering it ,I want just explanations. What type of administration, oral or what ????? Why you given in group B1: Five rabbits weighing 1.1 ±0.1 Kg fed with normal meal containing 20% of powdered egg yolk of the total meal weight and water for seven days as given in group C1, what is the different between two groups, I think one group for induction of hypercholesterolemia is enough no need for repetition ???? You used 400 mg/kg as a dose in ether ethanol or eques extract in what base you select this dose ????? The time of blood samples must be recorded and you must mention that the rabbits fasted from 12-16 hours before withdrawal sample of blood. Where the ethics approval of the study. The statistical method not clear, you just mention that you used SPSS 18.0 without any details. 	
	 Results Each table and figure should be supplied with a heading and a legend and they should be self-explanatory. 	
	 Discussion It wrote as collective data and as a results and it is weak and too small, so the discussion must be improved to interpretive the results of the present study and need to be rewrite again. 	
	 Reviewer's decision: All over the experimental work is too small and the parameters measured is little and the object study is not new I think except for new indication for used of the extract as 	
Minor REVISION comments	supplementation in treatment of hypercholesterolemia.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siham Mostafa Ali El-Shenawy
Department, University & Country	professor of phar Pharmacological Department in Medical Research Division in National Research Center (NRC), Egypt