



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_33619
Title of the Manuscript:	DIFFERENTIATION OF HEMODYNAMICS OF TOP ATHLETES DEPENDING ON HEART RATE VARIABILITY AFTER TRAINING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Lines 61-264 Please take some references paper and consider it. Please explain the validity of separating EG 1 and EG 2. Please clarify the ethical issue: Please indicate the number approved by the Research Ethics Committee.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 52; Wilkokson →Wilcoxon Line 61;20,6±3,0→20.6±3.0	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satoru Kai
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Kansai University of Welfare Sciences, Japan