



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_33619
Title of the Manuscript:	DIFFERENTIATION OF HEMODYNAMICS OF TOP ATHLETES DEPENDING ON HEART RATE VARIABILITY AFTER TRAINING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Method section:-need inclusion and exclusion criteria of participants, sample size estimation Results:- figures and tables need to be clear, in the table no (mean±SD) word Line 161 you stated studied groups ,there is only one group Discussion ;not contain previous studies ,limitations ,recommendations References ; only 18 ref. Cited while there is 20 ref. At ref. Section line 94	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper should be divided into introduction ,material & methods ,results, discussion and conclusion sections.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fayiz F. Elshamy
Department, University & Country	Physical therapy for women's health, Kafrelshiekh University &Egypt