



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32747
Title of the Manuscript:	ENDOCROWN-A UNIQUE WAY OF RETENTION-CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 17 Key words- endodontically treated teeth, Endocrown.</p> <p>Line 17 Key words- Endodontically treated teeth, Endocrown.</p> <p>Line 90 Bindl and Mormann demonstrated</p> <p>Line 90 Bindl and Mormann demonstrated</p> <p>Line 93 Similar results were reported by Lander and Dietschi where</p> <p>Line 93 Similar results were reported by Lander and Dietschi where</p>	
Optional/General comments	Very good case report	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Yaltirik
Department, University & Country	Istanbul University, Turkey