



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32747
Title of the Manuscript:	ENDOCROWN-A UNIQUE WAY OF RETENTION-CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript describes the rehabilitation of severely damaged teeth through the use of the root canal system and through the adhesive cementation techniques of prosthetic crown.</p> <p>topic is very interesting but unfortunately badly presented, documented and discussed.</p> <p>The pictures are of poor quality both in terms of pixels that as projections.</p> <p>The protocol used, along with the materials and methods is unclear and lacking in clear rules and citation literature.</p> <p>In summary, the work is too lacking in quality and documentation in order to be considered as publication in this prestigious journal.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alessandro Lanza
Department, University & Country	Multidisciplinary Department of Medical, Campania University Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy