



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_34226
Title of the Manuscript:	One in Ten Apparently Healthy Nigerian Adults has Concurrent Prediabetes and Prehypertension – Findings from a Community-based Survey.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not suitable to use in the title as Nigerian population as the study was done in a small community in one state of Nigeria. As such it would be ideal to mention the state and not the country. This should be corrected through out in the abstract and article. 2. Justify the reason for performing OGTT to assess prediabetes. 3. In Table 2 the total adds to 453 . How about the remaining sample. Same in table 3 as well 4. In table 4 is N=32 or percentage is 32% not clearly indicated 5. In the results 3.4 check the percentage 32 and 61 does not add up to 89 6. In table 4 why is the total 93% and not 100 not very clear to the reader. 7. Do not use the word prevalence of a country when the sample does not represent the whole Nigerian population instead just use percentage 8. In references- Uniformity should be maintained for example either use standard abbreviation for the journal or the full name and not a mixture. et al to be used after 6/7authors? Different formats have been adhered. Also full stops at the end of the reference etc. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Correction effected and highlighted in manuscript. 2. The OGTT was performed to capture additional subjects who may have impaired glucose tolerance though their fasting plasma glucose may be normal. 3. Remaining sample was for hypertensive subjects who were excluded as has been highlighted in the title. Also same for diabetics in table 3. 4. The rest of the queries and corrections for numbers 4 to 8 have been addressed and effected and highlighted in yellow in manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Use 0 in front of decimal values e.g. 0.13 and not .13.2. Unit of millilitre (mL) everywhere in the article and dL with a space in between	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good study but what could be the reason with good BMI and good physical activity to get prediabetes.	