



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_34226</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>One in Ten Apparently Healthy Nigerian Adults has Concurrent Prediabetes and Prehypertension – Findings from a Community-based Survey.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Line 61. Data from NHANES is on the US population not worldwide. A statement on ethics appears twice. Please delete one. Line 113, This states that height was measured to the nearest 0.1 m. This is an error. Table 1. Please recalculate percentages so that numbers add up to 100% for each sex. Same for active/inactive in Table 4. Table 2. Under total, change both 33.8 and 66.2 to 100%. Same change for Table 3 (ie 34.8 and 65.2). Line 263. Why is family planning mentioned? Lines 265-270 should come before "Conclusion."	All the queries and corrections have been addressed and highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		