



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_44774
Title of the Manuscript:	On application of two- stage stochastic fully fuzzy linear programming for water resources management optimization
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In my opinion Author should remove literature review from the introduction and use separate chapter for it. Second thing – Author should prepare the paper according to journal template. I suggest to add a scheme of the proposed procedure (not only mathematical description). It will be useful for readers. Chapter 5 should be rewrite. Author/Authors should add description of the case study (not only tables and calculations). I also suggest to add chapter or one paragraph in conclusion part with advantages and disadvantages of proposed algorithm.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is interesting. I suggest only minor changes (above).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Grzegorz Sierpiński</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Silesian University of Technology, Poland</i>