



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_44774
Title of the Manuscript:	On application of two- stage stochastic fully fuzzy linear programming for water resources management optimization
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In my opinion Author should remove literature review from the introduction and use separate chapter for it. Second thing – Author should prepare the paper according to journal template. I suggest to add a scheme of the proposed procedure (not only mathematical description). It will be useful for readers. Chapter 5 should be rewrite. Author/Authors should add description of the case study (not only tables and calculations). I also suggest to add chapter or one paragraph in conclusion part with advantages and disadvantages of proposed algorithm.	Ok, done
Optional/General comments	The paper is interesting. I suggest only minor changes (above).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>