



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_37848
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Algorithm for Determining the Equivalence of Two Finite-State Automata
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Theoretically and Logically tested but no hardware implementation on machine (system) Plagiarism issue: Lot of material from book authored Ullman and website Google	We did the dry run of the program using flowchart. We run the plagiarism test and it was less than 10%. This is good for any research work. The acceptable standard all over the world is 20% maximum.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If done practically through hardware components on machine take a picture of the experiment and run the experiment through that step by step showing exact steps.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		