Report on “On the geometry of Complex-Contact Riemannian Submersion”

One of the popular research field in differential geometry is the theory of Riemannian submersions which was initiated by O’Neill (1966) and Gray (1967).Watson(1976) considered Riemannian submersions between almost Hermitian manifolds under the name of almost Hermitian submersions. Af- terwards, almost Hermitian submersions have been actively studied between different subclasses of almost Hermitian manifolds. Also, Riemannian sub- mersions were extended to several subclasses of almost contact manifolds under the name of contact Riemannian submersions. Most of the studiesre- latedto Riemannian, almost Hermitian or contact Riemannian submersions can be found in the book (M. Falcitelli, S.Ianus, A.M. Pastore,2004).

In this paper under review, The author(s) study complex-contact Riemannian submersions first defined by (M. Falcitelli, S.Ianus, A.M. Pastore, 2004). This article has a number of disadvantages listed below.

1. This article has been made/written extremelysloppy.

2. The Language (English) of this paper is too bad.

3. Notations not well used. Forexample, sometime V is used some times$V$ isused.

4. The well known notions/concepts in the literature are misspelled. For example, *holomorephic*is written insteadof*holomorphic*, *geodesity→geodesicness*, *sub −manifold → submanifold*, *submertion → submersion*, and soon.

5. Author names and some words are missing or misspelled. For example, “Sahin→Şahin”,“stere→Stere”,“dente→denote”andsoon.

6. The citation format is not usual. For example, as “see D.E. Blair(2002)”.

7. Example 2.1 and Example 2.2 are already well known.

.

8. The notation or manifold M in Example 3.1 is undefined. Also, the author(s) did not explain why the projection in Example 3.1 is a complex-contact Riemanniansubmersion.

9. The Reference list is completely poorly written.

10. The articles, books and thesis in the Reference list not numbered.

11. The articles, books and thesis in the reference list are not defined they belong to which year.

12. Some papers in the reference list **do not appear in the literature**. For example, the *first paper* in the reference list.

13. There is no sentence between definitions, examples, propositionsandthe- orems.

14. The author(s) did not show a source for some facts which are used. For example, forequation(2.8.).