



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_39367
Title of the Manuscript:	An Exposition of the Eight Basic Measures in Diagnostic Testing Using Several Pedagogical Tools
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article contains an excessive number of references to literature / 48 titles /. The presentation of the material is mainly conducted in descriptive, textual form. Consistent mathematical analytics is not properly represented. Certain known and general formulas are declared. Marked gives the article a abstract character and leads to an unjustifiably large volume / 26 pages /. Illustrations and tables are presented systematically in an orderly, harmonious form, which indirectly indicates the reliability of the proposed material. Respecting the author's right to his own vision of the task, I think it possible to publish the article in the author's version.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viktor Kravets
Department, University & Country	Department of Automobiles and Automobile Sector, National Mining University, Ukraine