



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_39285
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of a Digital Circuit for Integer Factorization via Solving the Inverse Problem of Logic
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is not well organized. In conclusion the author can compare their work with other papers. They can give numerical results about the comparison. If the variable is more than 6 or t bits. What will be the results. They can add the complexity section.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fatih Başçiftçi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Computer Engineering, Selçuk University, Turkey</i>