



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_37931
Title of the Manuscript:	A Novel Multiplier using Vedic Mathematics and Booth Encoding
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have completed the review. Please, consider the iThenticate Report for plagiarism. Because there is 30% plagiarism in this manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	According to the iThenticate Report, there is 30% plagiarism. So, the manuscript should be rechecked and sentences should be rewritten related to author knowledge which improved by references' ideas.	
Optional/General comments	It would be better if you add more references... Plagiarism issue There is an iThenticate Report which gives 30% plagiarism. In my opinion, manuscript should be revised for this manner.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ufuk Çelik
Department, University & Country	Computer Programming Department, Bandirma Onyedi Eylul University, Turkey