



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_43000
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Solution of Linear Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients
Type of the Article	Original-research-article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Define "AC Laplace transform"	Abstract: Change We classify the terms in the homogeneous part of the differential equation, by the powers of the variable which are resulted when they are applied to a power function with nonintegral power. The terms giving the same power is classified into one classified term. To We classify the inhomogeneous differential equation by the powers of the independent variable in its homogeneous part. Page 1 Change "we discussed the solution of Laplace's differencial" to "we discussed the solution of Laplace's differential" Page 2 Change "we studied the solutions of special ones of Equation (1.1)" to "we studied the solutions of special cases of Equation (1.1)" Change "A basic method of solving Equation (1.2) is to find a solution" to "A basic method of solving Equation (1.2) is to assume a solution" The abstract is very unclear. What are "classified terms"?	1. Section 5.1 was added for the explanation of the AC-Laplace transform. 2. "classified term" is changed to "block of classified terms", and its definition in Abstract was changed. 3. Three changes of words on p.1 and p.2 were done as the reviewer recommended.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		