



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_42407
Title of the Manuscript:	Solving different order boundary value problems by Applying Homotopy Type Methods.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract the authors submitted that, “the methods, Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method (OHAM), Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) and Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) are applied to solve various order boundary value problems” and going through the manuscript it was found that only OHAM is shown. Authors can change the abstract to exclude solution by HPM and HAM and then cites the reference(s) where it has been solved for comparism in the tables. Alternatively, if authors have solved the bvps via these methods i.e. HPM and HAM, they should include both the analysis and solution in the manuscript.	Thank you very much, sir. The abstract of the revised manuscript is changed a little bit, but on the alternate basis according to the reviewer’s suggestion the analysis of the method, HPM and HAM are included along with their solutions. Where the results of these techniques have been mentioned in the tables of the revised manuscript and the comparison is made with OHAM. Moreover honorable sir we have revised our manuscript again & again and all the typos, grammatical mistakes have been removed and further modifications have been done according to the reviewers comments now it is more understandable for reviewers. Finally, we wish our modifications would achieve your acceptance. Awaiting for your kind reply, we will be remained. Sincerely yours Sher Muhammad
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		