



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_39635
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved FTWeightedHashT Apriori Algorithm on Big Data using Hadoop-MapReduce Model
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Better than previous version. Please do careful proof reading. Some cases are as follows. In section 2, related works, paragraph two, correct the word lollop Correct the serial order of references according to their first citation in text. In section 3.2, paragraph two, last sentence should be corrected. In section 4.3, paragraph two: performance. [1] should be performance [1]. In section 4.3, paragraph three: dataset. [22] should be dataset [22]. In section 5, first paragraph: frequent of itemsets should be frequent itemsets. In section 6, conclusion: confirm that sufficient should be efficient or not. In section 6, conclusion: Thus, implement should be Thus, implementation of In reference section: Authors name should follow a common pattern in all referenced paper listed. For example, Either Rakesh Agrawal, Tomasz Imieliński, and Arun Swami or R. Agrawal, T. Imieliński, and A. Swami Similary, either Sudhakar Singh, Rakhi Garg, P. K. Mishra or S. Singh, R. Garg, P. K. Mishra	Dear prof. anonymous Another proof reading is done, and the mistakes you found are because English is not mother language. We did a careful proof reading in the last time. We also used some standard tool for typos and grammer mistakes but, they couldnot be found. At the end we are humans. Now, All points you stated are carefully correted, and another proof reading is done. For the point of serial order of references metioned in the text, we noted that you are focusing on this point more than one time. The order of references is correct and we are sure of that. To be sure, the references are highlighted with the red color. The ones that not highlighted are repeated and they mentioned in their order. At the end of Peer-Review process, we are very thankful for your significant comments and instructions, and we hope you all the best for your life.