



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2018/JAMCS/39635
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved FTWeightedHashT Apriori Algorithm for Big Data using Hadoop-MapReduce Model
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. Authors did not go through proper proof reading after many reminders. If unable to identify by yourself then take help of others or some tools of grammar and spelling checking. 2. Authors also have not completely and carefully followed the instruction and comments given in previous revision. 3. In section 5.1 and 5.2, show more results for different value of wsupport. Authors have to show results for the only two algorithms, WeightedHashT and FTWeightedHashT. 4. The manuscript in present form with such limited results and lack of proof reading cannot be recommended for acceptance. I would like to make a last review; if authors wish to revise the manuscript following the said words in previous and current comments, sincerely.	1. We completely go through proper reading of the manuscript. We have taken some help of others and also checked the manuscript using a standard tool (Grammarly). 2. Now, We hope that we completely and carefully have followed all your instructions and comments. 3. More results for different value of wsupport are shown. 4. The following points are done in both previous and current comments: - Proper Proof reading for whole manuscript. - Reference no. 16 is in order. - In sections 5.1 and 5.2 more results for different value of wsupport are shown. - References style is uniform depends on the journal's instructions. Hopping that we have satisfied all your significant comments and we look forward the acceptance of the manuscript.