



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_39611
Title of the Manuscript:	Classification of Soya Beans based Image Processing Techniques and Artificial Neural Network
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-In Abstract, the Authors should revise the object into "The research aimed at classifying soya beans leaves....". 2- The Authors should apply another classifier like SVM to the same data set for comparing. 3- If possible add section" comparison with other works"	1- Correction effected as highlighted in the abstract 2- Though using another classifier like SVM as suggested will also add more value to the quality of the paper, below are reasons for not incorporating it now. a- This isn't part of the objectives stated to achieve in original thesis work b- As a novice researcher, am currently learning how to use SVM for classification purposes. c- I also consider this in another paper after been equipped with SVM.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		