



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_38568
Title of the Manuscript:	Root Systems, Cartan Matrix and Dynkin Diagrams in Classification of Lie Algebras
Type of Article:	Review Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have slightly improved the paper by incorporating some introductory references on the topic and correcting some typos and bad styles of the initial version. Nevertheless, there are some other aspects that they have not dealt with: - As I mentioned in my previous review, the bracket product of a Lie algebra is not necessarily defined as a commutator, which only appears as a possible example in case of dealing with Lie algebras derived from an associative algebra. This is a fact and does not depend on the notation of the product. In the literature, one can find examples of Lie algebras whose products are not defined as a commutator. - With respect to the references that I included as plagiarism issue, all of them are classified as open access. Even if they are not the base references from which the paper under review has directly been written, the fact is that a considerable percentage of the exposed paragraphs coincide exactly word by word with those ones appeared in those references. I agree with the authors that, since the exposed notions are introductory, they can be similar to other existing references, but we are not talking on some similar definitions, but on complete sections that coincide word by word with already existing papers, none of them being referred. From my point of view, this cannot be at all the base of a review paper which is considered to be published in a journal. In any case, as I indicated in my previous review, the paper can only be considered at most as the introductory part of a review paper devoted to the subject, but not as a full review paper.	Dear Reviewer - As for the bracket product and its misunderstanding as commutator , we deleted commutator from the manauscript as a correction according to your remarks. And we let only the term bracket product. - For plagiarism issue, we changed some sections , added others and modified some material in question . The wole paper was revised and all modifications were made in yellow. Any how you can see our modification in the mentioned sections . - We added more references , especially of Patricia Brent and others. - We made more items in conclusion. Dear reviewer we would like to thank you for your keen revision, and we apologize for these mistakes . In fact the sections in questions were prepared by ourpartner Selma, and she is in the beginning of publishing.