



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_38065
Title of the Manuscript:	1P-ABC, a simplified ABC variant for continuous optimization problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The algorithm proposed in 2005 by D. Karaboga can be specified in the introduction and not in abstract. - There is a conflict in the year of original proposal of ABC algorithm mentioned in abstract and introduction(2005 or 2007?) - Typographical mistakes need to be corrected (eg., in the introduction part: combinatorial optimization problems in a wide variety o fields) - From the numerical performance perspective, the ABC algorithm (Comma missing) - Grammatical mistakes need to be corrected (eg., 1. Section 5 presents the modifications introduced in the and 2. Section 6 presents the set of test optimization problems used in the testing experiments and the obtained results when the novel) - Literature survey is missing and must be given the effectiveness of the proposed method has not been fully clarified	
Minor REVISION comments	- The general Working principle and application/uses of CGOP can be specified - References to previous work need not be specified in conclusion part	
Optional/General comments	- The paper needs proof reading. The language is ok but there are grammatical errors - Comparison measure can still be added - Novelty is ok	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Amudha
Department, University & Country	Computer Science and Engineering, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, India