



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_37301
Title of the Manuscript:	Triple-Protocol – a New Direction of Elliptic-Curve Cryptography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors have discussed the advantage of triple-protocol. I suggest the disadvantage of triple-protocol can't be also listed. Is there any literature related to this article? The authors didn't present any literature review	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The journal's name of Reference [1] is strange. Please check it.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Y. Sheu
Department, University & Country	Department of Accounting and Information System, Chang-Jung Christian University, Taiwan