



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_37301
Title of the Manuscript:	Triple-Protocol – a New Direction of Elliptic-Curve Cryptography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: It is not properly explained. Kindly give some detailed overview, aim/objective of the paper. What novelty is there in the proposed algorithm and what results you have attained via this algorithm. Literature review is missing. It should be included in this paper. Comparison: Try to compare the performance of the proposed algorithm with existing ones so that novelty can be proved to better extent. Conclusion is missing. It should be included. Future scope should also be included in this paper. References are less. It should be included with latest 5 to 6 latest research papers.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Nayyar
Department, University & Country	Department of Computer Applications & IT, KCL Institute of Management and Technology, India