



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_35969
Title of the Manuscript:	Some properties of Fuzzy Soft Bitopological Spaces
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract should be modified and should include contribution of the paper to scientific community. Remove citation in the abstract-author can mention it elsewhere say in the introduction part. 2. The presentation of the paper in its entirety looks like a chapter in a mathematics book. It is suggested to include the logical or physical meaning of each and every deduction. 3. Authors must make a mention of practical application of bitopological spaces. How exactly fuzziness is seen in such spaces? 4. It is preferable to give a geometrical representation of such spaces (something similar to Kosko's geometrical fuzzy set representation). Too much of mathematical content, however, it can be improved if lucid explanations. Otherwise, this paper becomes a Jorgen for readers.	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors are suggested to improve the language. There seems to be a lot of grammatical mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. A. Jayaram
Department, University & Country	Department of Master of Computer Applications, Siddaganga Institute of Technology, India