



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_35610
Title of the Manuscript:	HYPERTENSION PREDICTION SYSTEM USING NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Positive Comments I appreciate you to chosen this problem. The literature survey is extremely nice. Since the objective is systematically executed properly. No Comparison with the existing method(s). Improve your own writing skills Dataset contains very minimum number of patient's record. It should have taken a huge volume of dataset for the data mining analysis. There was no mention of the limitations of the study, one of which is the apparently high dropout rate.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Ilayaraja
Department, University & Country	Department of Computer Science & Information Technology, Kalasalingam University, Tamil Nadu, India