



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_34691
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation in Step-Stress Partially Accelerated Life Test for Exponentiated Pareto Distribution under Progressive Censoring with Random Removal
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article in total is good written, has a good idea. But, it applies only two methods for estimations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I would rather apply more methods in estimations to estimate the parameters.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwa Osman Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Mathematics Departen, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Egypt