



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_37700
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Time to Failure in Prognostics: Brief Tutorial Guide to Prognostics Professionals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In my opinion, the paper cannot be considered as “original research paper”, as it presents some well-known facts related to the mean time to failure (MTTF). Meanwhile, I think that such a review may be useful for people who are working in applications of reliability theory. Therefore, I suggest that the paper be resubmitted in the category of “review papers”.	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		