



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_36817
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimated Numerical Results and Simulation of the Plant Disease Model Incorporating Wind Strength and Insect Vector at Equilibrium
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract, the authors briefly present the results to which they have come. The exact definition of the research objectives is missing in the abstract. The authors present their motivation and justify the need for exploration in the area within the introductory chapter. Comparatively good search of existing knowledge on the given topic is done within the introductory chapter. As a part of introductory chapter, relatively detailed research results are presented, which I would recommend to relocate rather to the conclusion chapter. Conversely, once again, the exact definition of the research objectives and the formulation of the research hypothesis would be included into the introductory chapter. Borrowed passages and already known information must be clearly separated from the authors' own contribution, i.e. from what the manuscript brings to the topic as new information. The manuscript contains a number of pages of tables that present various charts, where some information is presented to everyone. Such a structure is not common for a research article, it needs to add more text.	As suggested, I have; - Removed the research results from the abstract and taken to the - Included the exact definition of the research objectives in the abstract - Added information/ text to the charts
	I can recommend to the manuscript authors to center all formulas and equations in the text and to align their numbers to the right margin. It is necessary to format uniformly the paragraphs throughout the text.	I have aligned and centered the equations and aligned their numbers to the right margin
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		