



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_35984
Title of the Manuscript:	POPULATION DYNAMICS IN OPTIMALLY CONTROLLED ECONOMIC GROWTH MODELS: CASE OF LINEAR PRODUCTION FUNCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Writing long equations with no flow in the paper takes it to the verge of rejection. No comparison analysis. No tabular representations. What's the writer is delivering and proposing in the paper is still not understandable. Although authors have tried to collect and add huge amount of data in the paper they need to make reviewers understand graphically pictorially the real meaning of this paper.	The issue of long equations with no flow is not quite clear. Could you please through more light on this? The above notwithstanding, the related graphs which were initially placed in the appendix have now been inserted close to the relevant portions for much better flow and understanding.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		