



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_35326
Title of the Manuscript:	ON THE STABILITY ANALYSIS OF LINEAR SYSTEMS WITH CONTROL PARAMETERS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript in the way is written makes almost impossible to read and consequently give any evaluation or assign any score to it I am going to list the most important and crucial points that make me give no score in the valuation. • Even when a list of references is given none is mentioned along the whole manuscript. • In the Introduction, some paragraph need to give references in order to understand and justify in full what author(s) is trying to say, examples, between lines 14 and 19, line 28, lines 31—33, lines 45--49. • There are known results and concepts mentioned but the author(s) does not give the corresponding references where to look for them; here are some <i>Lyapunov function, Hermitian matrix, first integral, Rayleigh quotients</i> and some more. • He or she or they does not explain the meaning of expressions like z' (line 59). • Redundancy in some pages like 5, which makes even more difficult to understand the reading of manuscript under scrutiny. • Serious problems of redaction are spread along the manuscript; this can be seen in lines 56, 102 among others.	Thank you sincerely for the thorough review of the manuscript. Your wise observations and contributions are well noted. Corrections are effected in the revised paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Here is the list • The meaning of the term MDGKN is never said. • Many punctuation marks are missing or misplaced. • It is not clear what the control parameters are. • Dimension of matrix A given in line 55 is not mentioned. • It seems to be a contradiction between equation 4 (line 59) and the one given at the beginning of line 61. • In Schur's Lemma (line 97), it is pretty confusing the expression for matrix R (line following 97).	MDGKN system is described in the introduction. Thank you for your observations. Corrections are effected in the revised paper.
Optional/General comments		