



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_34691
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation in Step-Stress Partially Accelerated Life Test for Exponentiated Pareto Distribution under Progressive Censoring with Random Removal
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Authors need to derive the asymptotic normal distribution for the estimators of the paramters. 2. Authors also need to explain the motive of exponentiated Pareto distribution clearly. 3. Authors also give some practical examples to explain application for this model.	1.it is difficult to obtain 2.the motive of exponentiated Pareto distribution has been explained clearly. 3.some practical examples to explain application for this model have been introduced
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In the notations, the English style and the clarity of exposition need to improve, for example : (i)page 3 Assumption 5 has mistake; (ii)page 4 Section 3 need to rewrite them clearly;(iii) page 8 8th column:100(1-α)% confidence interval need to rewrite them clearly, etc.. 2. I suggest that authors also need a good proof-reading by a scientific writer.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No.	