



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_33955
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical model of dengue disease transmission dynamics with control Measures
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 81: in the expression of αN_h should not exist, in δ a term is missing, should be corrected. Line 88: The order of rows and columns of F and V should be checked again.	Thanks for the suggestions. I went through lines you pointed out. I found them correct.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 121: Authors would better give more details of the of comparison theorem to establish the given result. Line 138: It's r^2 instead of r. Line 147: values of control measures are the same as displayed in Table 2? If yes it should be mentioned in Section 5.	Little more details for comparison theorem is given in the revised form of the manuscript. Values in Table 2 are taken as base line values to check the sensitivity. We have used variable values of control variables in the Section 5.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		