



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_32759
Title of the Manuscript:	Fuzzy tangle graph
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract needs to be modified, conveying the theme of the paper. The importance of the theme, the applications if any and methods involved in development of the main point attempted in the paper. 2. Introduction is not at all clear. Authors should clearly bring out the tangle theory lucidly so that readers find it absorbing. 3. English is to be thoroughly checked. There are many grammatical mistakes. 4. What is the difference between conventional graph and fuzzy tangle graph? What kind of problems are to be addressed using fuzzy tangle graph? 5. The figures and equations look like Jargons, even if the readers are little knowledgeable in fuzzy concepts. 6. Paper lacks direction. Looks like an advanced chapter. A thorough scientific rewriting of the paper is suggested. 7. Authors should develop the idea of tangled graphs incrementally, apply to a scenario /case and elucidate it further for better readability.	OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Material contained in the paper is not substantially presented. Requires a minor revision.	