



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_38682
Title of the Manuscript:	EVIDENCE ON ANTIMICROBIAL EFFICACY OF COMMERCIAL TOOTHPASTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 48: use "microbial culture instead of microbial isolates" Line 63: Negative control?	
Minor REVISION comments	Ethical clearance is required because commercial products were used.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ali
Department, University & Country	Microbiology, Kano University of Science and Technology, Nigeria