



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_42157
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Kumasi, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT is good and informative. Divide ABSTRACT into sections according to journal style: AIMS, STUDY DESIGN, PLACE AND DURATION OF STUDY, METHODOLOGY, RESULTS.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION is good and informative. Divide INTRODUCTION into 2 or 3 paragraphs though.</p> <p>Change TABLE 5 title: Table 5. Proportion of Resistance to Gentamicin and ciprofloxacin plus ceftriaxone, and Ceftriaxone to Ciprofloxacin</p>	Ok noted.
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is informative and interesting.</p> <p>The large amount of information is presented in interpretable TABLES.</p> <p>This paper presents useful information to clinicians and epidemiology.</p> <p>This paper can be accepted for publication following revisions above.</p>	