



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMB_38682 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVIDENCE ON ANTIMICROBIAL EFFICACY OF COMMERCIAL TOOTHPASTE |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>1.Many microorganisms coexist in oral cavity. Dental caries inhibitory effect cannot be related to single organism.</p> <p>2.Discussion can be still elaborated about studies regarding antimicrobial efficacy of various toothpastes.</p> <p>3.Reason for least inhibitory effect of Mu'mim and Tesco brand tooth pastes have not been explained.</p> | It was highlighted in the manuscript that dental caries that associated with certain microorganism was studies in this research. Mu'min and Tesco brand toothpastes might have least antimicrobial effect against studied microbial strain due to lack of active ingredients present in its toothpaste formulation. The discussion part were highlighted in yellow. |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |