



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_36015
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Spore Wall Protein 9 Gene Cloned from Nosema pernyi (Microsporidia) Isolated from Chinese Oak Silkworm, Antheraea pernyi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Review the comments and observations in my Personal Report with full the details. Document in PDF Format for the authors.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The main objective of the manuscript is very interesting with promising results. The authors have worked hard but the manuscript needs be more attractive. The authors should propose which is the real utility of this study. I mean, with this discovery is possible, for example, to develop poly and monoclonal antibodies against to this new target for diagnosing or the treatment of the Pebrine. Likewise, the authors should modify several expressions, concepts or correct form faults for a better understanding of the manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Izquierdo Arias
Department, University & Country	Area of Parasitology and Immunology, University CEU-San Pablo., Madrid, Spain